

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,587	02/10/2004	Hiroyuki Minemura	HIRA.0142	1107	
Stanley P. Fish	7590 11/27/2007		EXAM	INER	
Reed Smith LL	02/10/2004 Hiroyuki Minemura 7590 11/27/2007 Fisher h LLP riew Park Drive	•	DANIELSEN, NA	DANIELSEN, NATHAN ANDREW	
10/774,587 02/10/2004 Hiroyuki Minemura 7590 Stanley P. Fisher Reed Smith LLP Suite 1400 3110 Fairview Park Drive Falls Church, VA 22042-4503	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Falls Church, VA 22042-4503			2627		
				DEL WEDVA (ODE	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	10/774,587	MINEMURA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Nathan Danielsen	2627		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Security</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Experiments.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-5 and 7-10 is/are w 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	ithdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the following(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-11 are pending. Claim 11 was added in applicant's restriction election filed 10 January
 Claims 2-5 and 7-10 have been withdrawn in response to this election.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 11 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(a) because the limitation "the signal" lacks antecedent basis within the claims.
- 3. Claim 6 is objected to because "used for verification" should be --used for the quality verification--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyashita et al (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0067677; hereinafter Miyashita).

Regarding claim 1, Miyashita discloses an information reproducing method, comprising:
using a PRML (Partial Response Maximum Likelihood) method which compares readout signal
levels at consecutive N times with target signal levels and selects the most likely state
transition to convert the readout signal into binary data (¶ 64 and figure 1), by:
changing said target signal levels adaptively with only asymmetry of said readout signal levels (¶
68) for quality verification of the readout signal.

Application/Control Number: Page 3

10/774,587 Art Unit: 2627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyashita, in view of the applicant's admitted prior art (hereinafter the AAPA).

Regarding claim 11, Miyashita discloses everything claimed, as applied to claim 1. However, Miyashita fails to disclose where either an asymmetry compensation target value table or a compensation target value table is selected, and the signal is compensated according to the selected table.

In the same field of endeavor, the AAPA discloses where either an asymmetry compensation target value table *or* a compensation target value table is selected, and the signal is compensated according to the selected table (figure 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the apparatus of Miyashita with that of the AAPA, for the purpose of compensating for asymmetry in a reproduced data signal while adaptively compensating for disc tilt (page 2, line 25 through page 3, line 17).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record, either alone or in combination, fails to teach or fairly suggest the combination of limitations in claims 1 and 6.

Closing Remarks/Comments

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Danielsen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,587 Art Unit: 2627 Page 5

Nathan Danielsen 11/20/2007

/William Korzuch/ SPE, Art Unit 2627